| Would that be correct?

A Yes.

And if either the -- I think you said the take rate changed or the discounts changed, then that would affect the final total benefits obtained by the Schools and Libraries.

Would that be correct?

A In aggregate, yes. I mean the difficulty here is we have committed to certain initiatives. You obviously can't put an ironclad price tag on the initiatives until they are completed.

In other words, you don't know what take rate would be realized and the essence of the commitment is the initiative. I mean, the initiative may end up costing more than \$130 million or it may end up costing less. We don't feel bound by the absolute quantity of the dollars. What we feel bound by is the commitments to the various initiatives.

entered into that reflects your statement that schools can choose between - - or should choose between the two separate discounts.

24

1

Is that accurate?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

words?

MR. DENNEHY: Excuse me, could that question be repeated? I didn't hear -

MR. PAPPALARDO: Let me restate it again.

Since May 8th, the time the FCC order was released to the current date, there has been no document or agreement that was entered into between the parties to the stipulation that reflects your comment that schools were required to choose between either the Schedule A discount or the FCC discount. Is that correct?

That's correct, and I'm not sure that there is a need to be one.

Now, the rate on Schedule A that a school or library would pay, that's essentially the proposed education rate - - that's essentially the retail rate for schools and libraries who takes it, is that correct?

I would characterize it as the post discount rate.

It's not a retail rate, in other

West - cross

policy plan, yes.

And these requests for the discount will only be made after the schools and libraries have gone through the bidding process and entered into agreement with specific carriers for specific services, is that your understanding?

A Yes, it is.

Now it's assumed we're in the 1-198 or after, when we have the fund in operation
and assume that the school has filed their bid
process properly and determined it will purchase
the service from Bell Atlantic at the rate of
225, is that the rate that is used as the basis
for calculating a discount amount that the school
will then be eligible for?

A Yes.

And so therefore assuming that schools eligible for a 50 percent discount under the matrix and that school will pay Bell Atlantic \$112.50 and Bell Atlantic would receive reimbursement from the Federal Fund in an equal amount, is that correct?

A If that's the discount it chooses,

Now let's take another example. Q

Yes.

A

23

24

If you have a school that wants the SMDS service we used earlier and a school that were eligible for a 60 percent discount, under the matrix, the 60 percent discount works out to \$90 of the tariff rate, of \$225?

A Okay.

Q Is it Bell Atlantic's position the rate -- the school wants to pay the lowest cost?

It should select the USSFCC discount and pay \$90 rather than the proposed education rate of \$100?

A Right. Bell Atlantic assumes the school is going to be rationale and will pay as little for a facility as it can.

And you're aware that the State of New Jersey has adopted the same matrix for interstate services, correct?

A Yes.

Q Is the SMDS to your knowledge solely an interstate service?

A I would doubt that.

Now, can you point me to any place in the FCC Order that you're aware of that says that a school should choose between the discount rate offered by the Federal Universal Fund and

J.H. BUEHRER & ASSOCIATES (201) 623-1974

West - cross

2 talked about?

A That's correct. I'm not sure that I want to sign up for the necessity to count that way. But you're correct in the calculation.

And so if every school worked out that they had met the criteria that gave them a higher percent discount than the discount listed on Schedule A, ad you mentioned, it would be rational for them to take the higher discount and Bell Atlantic would seek to receive from the federal funds the difference between what the school pays and the tariff rate listed on Schedule A.

And in effect, no monies would be counted towards the \$50 million that we discussed earlier, in that hypothetical?

A In that hypothetical, sure.

Two points, one, we have established the \$15 million was based on a take rate. In that hypothetical the take rate is zero so it's not surprising the answer you get is zero. And also given the average discount that schools and libraries of New Jersey are likely to be eligible for is somewhere in the range of 50

3 tariff rate?

A Sure. That's the intent of the Federal services to afford schools and libraries steeply discounted services without killing the local exchange carriers.

They are getting their entire

Q Was it the intent of the ONJ stipulation for Bell to receive this tariff rate when selling the services to schools and libraries?

A Well, the attempt was to provide the schools and libraries an alternative to receive steep discounts, something like the ones that ultimately ended up in the FCC order on these tariff rates.

Q If the FCC order had come out prior to the stipulation, would that mean that Bell would not have seen a need to offer all of these discounts because these schools would receive funding from the federal fund?

A I think it's tough to engage in that kind of history, but that certainly is possible when you look at what the motivation is for Schedule A in the first place.

Q Was the motivation for entering into Schedule A contingent or at all connected to whether there was an establishment of Federal Universal Service Fund for schools and libraries?

MR. DENNEHY: Commissioner, I'm going to object to the phrasing of that question because Mr. Pappalardo is asking Mr. West to speculate to motivation of a document that was signed, not only by Bell Atlantic-New Jersey, but by the Ratepayer Advocate and the Board's Staff.

you want to rephrase the question?

Q What is the basis for your

COMMISSIONER ARMENTI:

Yes.

Do

previous answer regarding the motivation for entering into Schedule A?

A My belief is that Ratepayer

Advocate was concerned about the availability of
these sort of discounts for schools and libraries
and wanted to make sure that there was something
available in an era when there was a good deal of
uncertainty surrounding what the Federal
Universal Service Program might provide.

Q If you recall earlier, we had

MR. PAPPALARDO: Commissioner,
Mr. West has already testified about what
he believes the motivation is and I want
to clarify and see if his understanding
includes or excludes that proposition.

22

23

MR. DENNEHY: I want to be sure

Mr. West knows what he's testifying to as

to what he assumes the motivation is for

Bell Atlantic on the one hand and the

Ratepayer Advocate on the other hand and
the Board's Staff.

MR. PAPPALARDO: I will be happy to limit it to what his understanding is of Bell Atlantic's motivation.

COMMISSIONER ARMENTI: Answer in the best way you can.

THE WITNESS: Sure. The

Stipulation was an agreement signed in the

context of a review of the ONJ commitment.

BY MR. PAPPALARDO:

Q I'm not sure if you're agreeing then that Schedule A was part of the ONJ commitment and entered into for that reason on behalf of Bell Atlantic or if the reason it was related to whether there was an FCC Order or not, if you can clarify that for me?

A Well, I don't believe it was part of the ONJ commitment, but the initiatives, because it was part of the overall ONJ

West - cross

2 commitment.

Q And that ONJ commitment would exist whether or not there is a Federal Fund, Universal Fund for schools and libraries, is that your understanding?

A That's correct. The initiative to provide that level of discount remains a commitment. The exact dollars that would be generated by whatever the true take rate versus the guesstimated or estimated one is not an ironclad part of the commitment.

Q But, the commitment was not entered into with either the expectation or certainly not the certainty that there may be a Federal Fund to possibly offset any of the \$50 million commitment made?

A No, I don't think that's true. On Page 6 it states that this agreement is intended to prohibit Bell Atlantic-New Jersey from seeking reimbursement from the Universal Service Fund for discounts to schools and libraries described herein. Ratepayer Advocate signed the Stipulation.

Q My question was a little different